

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,177	(	02/02/2001	Michel Droux	201975US3PCT	8607
22850	7590	06/02/2004		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET				YAO, SAMCHUAN CUA	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1722	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/774,177	DROUX, MICHEL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (36 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>17-25</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>17-25</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b)  objected to by the i drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sei ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20040528

Art Unit: 1733

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bolen et al (US 3,936,558) for reasons of record set forth in numbered paragraph 7 in a prior office action dated 12-15-03.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolen et al (US 3,936,558) as applied to claim 22 above for reasons of record set forth in numbered paragraph 9 in a prior office action dated 12-15-03.

This alternative rejection is made in the event that, a binder in powder form and in liquid form recited in this claim distinguish over binder particles in a liquid dispersion medium taught by Bolen et al.

Art Unit: 1733

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 04-12-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Counsel argues on page 9 full paragraph 2 that, "[i]n the Bolen et al reference, all of the layers of strands are provided on the conveyor (61), and then binder is applied to all the layers.". Based on this fact, Counsel concluded that, "all of the layers of strands are subject to opening, regardless of whether the opening of any individual layer is subject to an opening to a different degree than any other layer". Accordingly, the presently recited claims are not anticipated by Bolen et al reference, since the claims now requires "at least one second layer of at least one intact strand formed of filaments which are held together within the strand and are not opened.". While it is true in a process taught by Bolen et al that, all of the layers of strands are provided on the conveyor (61), and then binder is applied to all the layers.", Examiner strongly disagrees with Counsel's conclusion. One in the art reading the Bolen et al patent as a whole would have reasonably recognized and understood that, Bolen et al contemplates forming a multi-layered fibrous mat wherein at least one of the strand layers in the mat comprises "at least one intact strands formed of filaments which are held together within the strand that are not opened" as evidence from the following passages:

a) "One of the layers has filaments of the strands therein at least partially

dispersed to form a mesh size which entraps binder particles therein which

Application/Control Number: 09/774,177 Page 4

Art Unit: 1733

have a mesh size larger than the mesh size of the dispersed filament layer, ... a fibrous body having variable mesh sizes or characteristics by <u>selectively</u> <u>filamentizing strands</u> or a layer of strands already in place in <u>a mat-like</u> <u>collection of strands</u> ... The strands in <u>one of the layers are opened</u> to separate the filaments of the strands from each other and change the mesh size of that layer to mechanically entrap binder particles therein." (emphasis and bold-face added; abstract);

- b) "In situation where only a small amount of binder particles are desired in layers of the mat-like mass which do not have dispersed filaments, a number of different approaches could individually or in combination be used. Only binder particles of a size that would be entrapped by the dispersed filaments could be used. (emphasis bold-face added; col. 6 lines 58-63);
- c) "The liquid strand dispersing phase of the binder can serve at least three functions. ... third, it <u>can disperse the filaments of the strands in **one** of the layers of the mat-like mass." (emphasis and bold-face added; col. 7 lines 33-34);</u>
- d) "When the product must rapidly gain entrance to the central body portion of the mat through one of the surfaces, then it is preferred that the bottom layer of strands are not filamentized so that the matrix may be more easily admitted to the mat." (emphasis and bold-face added; col. 8 lines 2-15);
- e) "The purpose of the soaking section is to <u>break down any bonds or other</u>

  forces that may be holding filaments together in strand form in the layer or

Art Unit: 1733

<u>layers that are to be filamentized</u>." (emphasis and bold-face added; col. 17 lines 64-68); and,

f) "Whether <u>filamentized or **not**</u>, the bottom layer at least provides substantially more intersections to filter some binder out ..." (emphasis and bold-face added; col. 18 lines 64-67).

Note: Where ... the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, the PTO can require an applicant to prove that the prior art products do not necessarily or inherently possess the characteristics of his claimed product. Whether the rejection is based on "inherency" under 35 USC § 102, on prima facie obviousness" under 35 USC § 103, jointly or alternatively, the burden of proof is the same, and its fairness is evidenced by the PTO's inability to manufacture products or to obtain and compare prior art products." In re Best, 562 F2d 1252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430, 433-4 (CCPA 1977).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Chuan C. Yao whose telephone number is (571) 272-1224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday with second Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sam Chuan C. Yao Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

Scy 05-28-04